	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/470,446	INGLE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rudy Zervigon	1763
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>
(1) <u>Rudy Zervigon</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Susan Kalb (6508571717)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 May 2007	Time: <u>4:19</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: All pending		
Claims discussed: All pending		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summation. 	the examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
		·
	1	
	1/1/0//	m stutt
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	nt/Applicant's Representative 3	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: I called the law offices inquiring on the case. Mrs. Swiatek is no longer working for the firm. The Examiner is uncertain if Mrs. Swiatek took the case with her or if the case is still being prosecuted by Dorsey & Whitney. The time period under 37CFR1.136(a) ellapsed on April 8, 2007 - 2 months under 37CFR1.192(a) + five month purchase under 37CFR1.17(a)...